

The Economics of Space 433: Section 1

An Introduction to Producer Problem and Dixit-Stiglitz Preferences

Costas Arkolakis, Ryungha Oh¹

¹Yale University

September 2022

Logistics

- ▶ Ryungha Oh: ryungha.oh@yale.edu
- ▶ TF session: Friday 9:30 am, 10:30 am
- ▶ Office Hour: Friday 11:30 am or by appointments

Consumer and Producer Problem: Roadmap

- ▶ Two major economic agents: **consumers** and **producers** (firms)
- ▶ We usually first solve for each agent's optimization problem
 - ▶ Consumer's utility maximization → demand
 - ▶ Producer's profit maximization → supply
 - ▶ Then, find an equilibrium by finding prices that clear the markets
- ▶ Today:
 - ▶ Review producer's problem
 - ▶ Analyze the consumer problem: Cobb-Douglas, Dixit-Stiglitz

Producer Problem

- ▶ Producer maximizes the **profit** π subject to **production technology** Y by choosing optimal **inputs** given **prices (demand)**
- ▶ Firm's profit = revenues - production costs
- ▶ Firm's output Y is determined by inputs
 - ▶ E.g. Linear technology using labor L : $Y = AL$
 - ▶ E.g. Cobb-Douglas production function with capital K and labor L :
 $Y = AK^\alpha L^{1-\alpha}$
- ▶ Assume there are two inputs, capital K and labor L
- ▶ Also assume that firms take goods price p and input prices (w, r) as given (competitive market)

Producer Problem: FOC

- ▶ Firm maximizes the profit by choosing optimal level of inputs (K, L)

$$\max_{K,L} \underbrace{pY(K, L)}_{\text{revenue}} - \underbrace{(rK + wL)}_{\text{production costs}}$$

- ▶ Take the FOC:

$$p \frac{\partial Y(K, L)}{\partial K} = r$$

$$p \frac{\partial Y(K, L)}{\partial L} = w$$

Producer Problem: FOC

- ▶ To maximize profit, firm equalizes the marginal revenue to marginal cost of each input
- ▶ Marginal revenue (MR) is an increase of revenue w.r.t. an increase of an input
 - ▶ Hiring one more worker \rightarrow increase output, and thus revenue
 - ▶ Firms take good prices as given \rightarrow MR is the price p multiplied by marginal product (MP) $\frac{\partial Y}{\partial K}$ ($\frac{\partial Y}{\partial L}$)
- ▶ Marginal cost (MC) is an increase of production cost w.r.t. an increase of input
 - ▶ Hiring one more worker \rightarrow need to pay more wages
 - ▶ Firms take input prices as given \rightarrow MC is price of an input r (w)

Producer Problem: Example

- ▶ Now, suppose $Y(L) = AL$. Then, firm's problem becomes

$$\max_L \underbrace{p(AL)}_{\text{revenue}} - \underbrace{wL}_{\text{cost}}$$

- ▶ FOC:

$$pA = w$$

- ▶ If $pA > w$, firms will produce infinite amount of output
 - ▶ If $pA < w$, firms will produce zero output
 - ▶ This usually cannot be an equilibrium since the price will adjust in the goods market
- ▶ cf) Rosen-Roback: the economy with agglomeration forces $A = \bar{A}L^\alpha$

Consumer Problem

- ▶ Consumer maximizes **utility** subject to **the budget constraint** choosing optimal **consumption** given **prices**
- ▶ Commonly used utility function: **Cobb-Douglas (C-D)**
 - ▶ Consumer's utility function $U(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N) = \prod_{i=1}^N c_i$
 - ▶ c_i = the quantities consumed of each good
 - ▶ Budget constraint: $p_1 c_1 + p_2 c_2 + \dots + p_N c_N = w$
- ▶ In this class, we focus on **Dixit-Stiglitz (D-S) utility**
 - ▶ Consumer's utility function
$$U(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N) = \left(c_1^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} + c_2^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} + \dots + c_N^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}$$
 - ▶ σ captures how easily consumers can *substitute* between two goods
 - If σ is large, consumers can easily substitute between c_i and c_j
 - E.g. If $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$, then $U(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N) = c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_N$

C-D: the Set-up

- ▶ Consumer's problem:

$$\max_{c_1, c_2} c_1^\alpha c_2^{1-\alpha}$$

subject to $p_1 c_1 + p_2 c_2 = w$

- ▶ From the consumer's perspective, (p_1, p_2, w, α) are **exogenous** variables/parameters
 - ▶ They are just "given" to the consumer
- ▶ On the other hand, c_1, c_2 are **endogenous** variables
 - ▶ The consumer *chooses* their value in order to maximize utility
 - ▶ We denote the optimal choice as (c_1^*, c_2^*) and the corresponding maximized level of utility as U^*

C-D: Lagrangian and FOCs

- ▶ To solve the maximization problem, write the Lagrangean function

$$\mathcal{L} = c_1^\alpha c_2^{1-\alpha} + \mu (w - p_1 c_1 - p_2 c_2)$$

where μ is the Lagrange multiplier

- ▶ Take the first-order condition (FOC)

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_1} = \alpha c_1^{\alpha-1} c_2^{1-\alpha} - \mu p_1 = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_2} = (1 - \alpha) c_1^\alpha c_2^{-\alpha} - \mu p_2 = 0$$

$$\text{BC} : w - (p_1 c_1 + p_2 c_2) = 0$$

C-D: Algebra 1

- ▶ Rewrite first 2 equations

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha c_1^{\alpha-1} c_2^{1-\alpha} &= \mu p_1 \\ (1-\alpha) c_1^\alpha c_2^{-\alpha} &= \mu p_2\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Divide the first by the second

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\alpha c_1^{\alpha-1} c_2^{1-\alpha}}{(1-\alpha) c_1^\alpha c_2^{-\alpha}} &= \frac{\mu p_1}{\mu p_2} \implies \\ \frac{\alpha c_2}{(1-\alpha) c_1} &= \frac{p_1}{p_2} \implies \\ c_2 &= \frac{(1-\alpha) p_1 c_1}{\alpha p_2}\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

C-D: Algebra 2

- ▶ Now substitute c_2 in the budget constraint

$$p_1 c_1 + p_2 \frac{(1 - \alpha) p_1 c_1}{\alpha p_2} = w \implies$$

$$p_1 c_1 \left(1 + \frac{(1 - \alpha)}{\alpha} \right) = w \implies$$

$$c_1^* = \frac{\alpha w}{p_1} \tag{2}$$

- ▶ We have expressed c_1 as a function of exogenous parameters only!
Thus, we've found the utility-maximizing choice, c_1^*
- ▶ To find c_2^* , substitute c_1^* into (1),

$$c_2^* = \frac{(1 - \alpha) p_1}{\alpha p_2} \frac{\alpha w}{p_1} = \frac{(1 - \alpha) w}{p_2}$$

C-D: Solution, Utility

- ▶ We have found the optimal consumption:

$$(c_1^*, c_2^*) = \left(\frac{\alpha w}{p_1}, \frac{(1-\alpha)w}{p_2} \right)$$

- ▶ To find the “optimized” utility level, plug c_1^*, c_2^* into the utility function:

$$\begin{aligned} U^* &= U(c_1^*, c_2^*) = (c_1^*)^\alpha (c_2^*)^{1-\alpha} \\ \implies U^* &= \left(\frac{\alpha w}{p_1} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)w}{p_2} \right)^{1-\alpha} = \frac{\alpha^\alpha (1-\alpha)^{1-\alpha}}{p_1^\alpha p_2^{1-\alpha}} w \\ \implies U^* &= \frac{w}{\frac{p_1^\alpha p_2^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha^\alpha (1-\alpha)^{1-\alpha}}} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

C-D: Price Index

- ▶ Define a new variable $P = \frac{p_1^\alpha p_2^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha^\alpha (1-\alpha)^{1-\alpha}}$, the maximized utility U^* is simply:

$$U^* = \frac{W}{\frac{p_1^\alpha p_2^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha^\alpha (1-\alpha)^{1-\alpha}}} = \frac{W}{P}$$

- ▶ P is known as the **price index**
- ▶ P is increasing in p_1 and p_2
 - ▶ P resembles C-D utility function
 - Precise form of the price index is influenced by the underlying utility function
 - Different utility function would give the index

C-D: Expenditure Shares

- ▶ Finally, let's compute the **expenditure shares** of each good
 - ▶ That is, the fraction of the consumer's income that is spent on each good
 - ▶ E.g. expenditure share of good 1 is: $\lambda_1 = \frac{\text{money spent on good 1}}{\text{total income}}$
- ▶ Total money spent on good i is $p_i c_i^*$.
So, expenditure share of good i is $\lambda_i = \frac{p_i c_i^*}{w}$
- ▶ From $c^* = \left(\frac{\alpha w}{p_1}, \frac{(1-\alpha)w}{p_2} \right)$, we get

$$\lambda_1 = \alpha$$

$$\lambda_2 = 1 - \alpha$$

- ▶ Note that expenditure share is independent of prices or income
 - ▶ E.g. if $p_1 \uparrow$, buys fewer units of c_1 but spends more money on each unit
 - ▶ These two effects cancel out so that expenditure share stays constant

Moving on to D-S

- ▶ Having shown the facts and properties of consumer choice under C-D preferences, we now move on to **Dixit-Stiglitz** preferences
- ▶ We will use the exact same sequence of steps, presenting conditions for CD and DS side-by-side to make it even more explicit

C-D vs. D-S: Set-Up

- ▶ Consumer's utility-maximization problem:

$$\max_{c_1, c_2} U(c_1, c_2) \quad \text{subject to } p_1 c_1 + p_2 c_2 = w$$

- ▶ The same consumer's optimization problem
- ▶ Only difference is the functional form of the utility $U(c_1, c_2)$

Cobb-Douglas case

$$\max_{c_1, c_2} c_1^\alpha c_2^{1-\alpha}$$

subject to:

$$p_1 c_1 + p_2 c_2 = w$$

Dixit-Stiglitz case

$$\max_{c_1, c_2} \left(c_1^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} + c_2^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}$$

subject to:

$$p_1 c_1 + p_2 c_2 = w$$

C-D vs. D-S: Lagrangean and FOCs

Write the Lagrangian and take first-order conditions:

Cobb-Douglas case

$$\mathcal{L} = c_1^\alpha c_2^{1-\alpha} + \mu(w - p_1 c_1 - p_2 c_2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_1} = \alpha c_1^{\alpha-1} c_2^{1-\alpha} - \mu p_1 = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_2} = (1-\alpha) c_1^\alpha c_2^{-\alpha} - \mu p_2 = 0$$

Dixit-Stiglitz case

$$\mathcal{L} = \left(c_1^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} + c_2^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} + \mu(w - p_1 c_1 - p_2 c_2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_1} = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} \left[c_1^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} + c_2^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} \right]^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}-1} \frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma} c_1^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}-1} - \mu p_1 = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_2} = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} \left[c_1^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} + c_2^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} \right]^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}-1} \frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma} c_2^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}-1} - \mu p_2 = 0$$

C-D vs. D-S: Algebra 1

Algebraically manipulating equations:

Cobb-Douglas case

$$\alpha c_1^{\alpha-1} c_2^{1-\alpha} = \mu p_1$$

$$(1 - \alpha) c_1^\alpha c_2^{-\alpha} = \mu p_2$$

Dixit-Stiglitz case

$$[c_1^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} + c_2^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}}]^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}-1} c_1^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}-1} = \mu p_1$$

$$[c_1^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} + c_2^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}}]^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}-1} c_2^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}-1} = \mu p_2$$

Dividing the top equation by the bottom equation, we get:

$$\frac{\alpha c_2}{(1 - \alpha) c_1} = \frac{p_1}{p_2} \Rightarrow$$
$$c_2 = \frac{(1 - \alpha) p_1}{\alpha p_2} c_1$$

$$\frac{c_1^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}-1}}{c_2^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}-1}} = \frac{p_1}{p_2} \Rightarrow$$
$$c_2 = \left(\frac{p_2}{p_1}\right)^{-\sigma} c_1$$

C-D vs. D-S: Algebra 2

Substituting c_2 into budget constraints, we get c_1^* :

Cobb-Douglas case

$$p_1 c_1 + p_2 \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)p_1}{\alpha p_2} c_1 \right) = w \Rightarrow$$
$$c_1^* = \frac{\alpha w}{p_1}$$

Dixit-Stiglitz case

$$p_1 c_1 + p_2 \left(\left(\frac{p_2}{p_1} \right)^{-\sigma} c_1 \right) = w \Rightarrow$$
$$c_1^* = \frac{p_1^{-\sigma} w}{p_1^{1-\sigma} + p_2^{1-\sigma}}$$

Now plug it back into c_2 equations:

$$c_2^* = \frac{(1-\alpha)w}{p_2}$$

$$c_2^* = \frac{p_2^{-\sigma} w}{p_1^{1-\sigma} + p_2^{1-\sigma}}$$

C-D vs. D-S: Solution, Utility

Thus, the utility-maximizing choice bundle is:

Cobb-Douglas case

$$(c_1^*, c_2^*) = \left(\frac{\alpha w}{p_1}, \frac{(1-\alpha)w}{p_2} \right)$$

Dixit-Stiglitz case

$$(c_1^*, c_2^*) = \left(\frac{p_1^{-\sigma} w}{p_1^{1-\sigma} + p_2^{1-\sigma}}, \frac{p_2^{-\sigma} w}{p_1^{1-\sigma} + p_2^{1-\sigma}} \right)$$

We can then find the achieved level of utility $U^* = U(c_1^*, c_2^*)$:

$$\begin{aligned} U^* &= (c_1^*)^\alpha (c_2^*)^{1-\alpha} \\ &= \frac{w}{\frac{p_1^\alpha p_2^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha^\alpha (1-\alpha)^{1-\alpha}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} U^* &= [(c_1^*)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} + (c_2^*)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}}]^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} \\ &= \left[\left(\frac{p_1^{-\sigma} w}{p_1^{1-\sigma} + p_2^{1-\sigma}} \right)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} + \left(\frac{p_2^{-\sigma} w}{p_1^{1-\sigma} + p_2^{1-\sigma}} \right)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} \right]^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} \\ &= \frac{w}{(p_1^{1-\sigma} + p_2^{1-\sigma})^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}} \end{aligned}$$

C-D vs. D-S: Price Index

Let's define the **price index**, P , so that we can express utility as $U^* = \frac{w}{P}$:

Cobb-Douglas case

$$P \equiv \frac{p_1^\alpha p_2^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha^\alpha (1-\alpha)^{1-\alpha}}$$

Dixit-Stiglitz case

$$P \equiv (p_1^{1-\sigma} + p_2^{1-\sigma})^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}$$

Note that in both cases:

- ▶ P increases in either p_1 or p_2
- ▶ P bears some similarities to the utility function
 - Once more, the form of the index is influenced by the underlying utility function

C-D vs. D-S: Expenditure Shares

Substituting the values of c_i^* :

Cobb-Douglas case

$$\lambda_1 = \alpha$$

$$\lambda_2 = 1 - \alpha$$

Dixit-Stiglitz case

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{p_1^{1-\sigma}}{p_1^{1-\sigma} + p_2^{1-\sigma}}$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{p_2^{1-\sigma}}{p_1^{1-\sigma} + p_2^{1-\sigma}}$$

- ▶ Expenditure shares are affected by prices in D-S case, but not in C-D case
- ▶ In D-S case, a higher p_1 decreases a spending share of good 1 ($\sigma > 1$)
 - Consumers can more easily substitute toward good 2 than C-D case
 - “Fewer units” effect dominates the “higher price per unit” effect
- ▶ For both cases, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$
 - Recall BC: $\sum_i \lambda_i = \sum_i \frac{p_i c_i}{w} = 1$

D-S: Expenditure Shares

- ▶ Note the structure of expenditure shares:

$$\lambda_i = \frac{p_i^{1-\sigma}}{\sum_{j'} p_{j'}^{1-\sigma}}$$

- ▶ It has the structure $\frac{K^{\text{"that good"}}}{K^{\text{"summed across all goods"}}$
- ▶ Moreover, the denominator is a function of the price index, i.e. $P^{1-\sigma}$
- ▶ It turns out that this structure generalizes to N goods: $\lambda_i = \frac{p_i^{1-\sigma}}{\sum_{s=1}^N p_s^{1-\sigma}}$

Appendix: D-S and limit cases

- ▶ We already checked that the limit of D-S with $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha c_1^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} + (1-\alpha)c_2^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}})^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} = \alpha c_1 + (1-\alpha)c_2$$

- ▶ In fact, C-D is the limit of D-S with $\sigma \rightarrow 1$

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 1} (\alpha c_1^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} + (1-\alpha)c_2^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}})^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} = c_1^\alpha c_2^{1-\alpha}$$